PATENT Attorney cket No. 018842.1162

# IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Patent Application:	)  - 9-
Toru YAMAGUCHI et al.	) Examiner L. LEO #10
Application Number: 09/779,478	) Group Art Unit 3743
Filed: February 9, 2001	RECEIVED
FOR: HEAT EXCHANGERS AND FINS	)
FOR HEAT EXCHANGERS AND	JAN 0 8 2003
METHODS FOR	TECHNOLOGY CENTER R3700
MANUFACTURING THE SAME	J ILOUNOLOGY CENTER H3700

## RESPONSE TO OFFICE ACTION UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.116

#### Box AF

Commissioner of Patents U.S. Patent and Trademark Office Washington, D.C. 20231

Sir:

## **REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION**

Applicant respectfully requests that the Examiner reconsider the above-captioned patent application in view of the following remarks.

### **REMARKS**

## 1. Rejections

Claims 1-6 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b), as allegedly anticipated by Japanese Patent Publication No. JP-A-7-280484 ("JP-'484). Applicants respectfully disagree.

# 2. 35 U.S.C. § 102(b)

Claims 1-6 stand rejected as allegedly anticipated by JP-'484. "A claim is anticipated if and only if each and every element as set forth in the claim is found, either expressly or inherently described, in a single prior art reference." MPEP 2131. The Office Action alleges that JP-'484 describes each and every element as set forth in claims 1-6. The Office Action also alleges that Applicants' perspective of JP-'484 (and Applicants' Fig. 13 which corresponds to JP-'484) is inconsistent with Applicants' Fig. 5. Applicants respectfully disagree.

DC01:345529.1 -1-